MAY 19, 2021

LEGAL

NON-COMPETES COME UNDER ATTACK IN MORE JURISDICTIONS



A number of states have enacted restrictions on non-competes in recent years. For example, in 2018 Massachusetts passed its <u>Noncompetition Agreement Act</u>, which prohibited certain non-compete agreements. But only three states — California, North Dakota and Oklahoma — have imposed a total ban.

Washington, D.C. recently became the next jurisdiction to impose a broad ban on non-competes. Under the Ban on Non-Compete Agreements Amendment Act (the Act), non-compete and anti-moonlighting agreements entered into with D.C. employees after this law takes effect will be void and unenforceable.

The Act's Prohibitions and Requirements

The Act's ban is threefold:

<u>First</u>, it prohibits employers from requiring or even requesting that an
employee sign a non-compete agreement, which is defined as a written
agreement prohibiting "the employee from being simultaneously or
subsequently employed by another person, performing work or providing
services for pay for another person, or operating the employee's own
business."

ABOUT THE AUTHORS



Michael C. Lasky
is Founder and Chair of the
Public Relations Law Practice
Group of Davis+Gilbert LLP.
He may be reached at
mlasky@dglaw.com or
212 468 4849



Neal H. Klausner
is Co-Chair of the Litigation
Practice Group of
Davis+Gilbert LLP.
He may be reached
at nklausner@dglaw.com or
212 468 4992



Danielle C. Zolot
is an Associate in the
Litigation Practice Group of
Davis+Gilbert LLP.
She may be reached
at dzolot@dglaw.com
or 212 237 1462

- Second, it precludes an employer from having a policy that prohibits an employee from being employed by another person, performing work or providing services for pay for another person, or operating the employee's own business. In other words, this prohibition eliminates employers' abilities to prevent moonlighting. As written, this would mean that an employer cannot prevent an employee from simultaneously working for another company even a direct competitor or from operating their own business that may compete with the employer's business.
- <u>Third</u>, it contains a broad anti-retaliation provision which prevents employers from, among other things, retaliating for an employee's refusal to agree to a non-compete provision or failure to comply with one.

The Act also requires employers to provide employees with written notice of the law (with text specifically dictated in the statute) no later than 90 days after its applicability, seven days after an individual becomes an employee or 14 days after an employer receives an employee's written request for the notice.

Notably, the law does not prohibit agreements that forbid employees from using or disclosing confidential information or non-competes that are executed as part of a sale of business. In addition, the law is silent as to whether employers can bar employees from soliciting employees or customers.

Coverage and Exclusions

The Act broadly defines "employer" but excludes Washington, D.C. and federal governments. Similarly, the Act broadly defines "employee," but excludes individuals who volunteer for educational, charitable, religious or nonprofit organizations, elected members of religious organizations, casual babysitters and medical specialists (as defined). Employers must operate in D.C. to be covered by the Act and employees must perform work in D.C. to fall under the Act's scope. Thus, the Act only applies to D.C. employers' agreements with D.C. employees. Unlike certain other jurisdictions that have enacted bans on non-compete agreements, the D.C. Act's ban is not limited to low-wage workers or to certain industries.

The law is expected to go into effect in the fall of 2021.

Enforcement

The Act contains administrative penalties between \$350 and \$1,000 for *each* violation. It also provides for liability in amounts ranging from \$500 to \$3,000 depending on the provision violated. Further, the law gives employees a private right of action which includes the potential recovery of attorneys' fees.

The Bottom Line

- Washington, D.C.'s new law banning non-compete agreements is sweeping in scope. Given the potential liability for violating the Act, employers should become familiar with the Act's provisions.
- Employers should review their existing restrictive covenant agreements for Washington, D.C. employees and review employee handbooks to ensure that any moonlighting or outside employment policies do not run afoul of the new law's prohibitions.

